



IFW

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 017750-584

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of  
Michael E. Weinstein  
Application No.: 10/608,096  
Filing Date: June 30, 2003  
Title: MICROSTRIP-WAVEGUIDE TRANSITION

Group Art Unit: 2819  
Examiner: VIBOL TAN  
Confirmation No.: 8095

AMENDMENT/REPLY TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Enclosed is a reply for the above-identified patent application.

☐ A Petition for Extension of Time is also enclosed.

☐ Terminal Disclaimer(s) and the ☐ \$65.00 (2814) ☐ \$130.00 (1814) fee per  
Disclaimer due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d) are also enclosed.

☐ Also enclosed is/are \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

☐ Small entity status is hereby claimed.

☐ Applicant(s) requests continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 and enclose the  
☐ \$395.00 (2801) ☐ \$790.00 (1801) fee due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e).

☐ Applicant(s) requests that any previously unentered after final amendments not be entered.  
Continued examination is requested based on the enclosed documents identified above.

☐ Applicant(s) previously submitted \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_  
for which continued examination is requested.

☐ Applicant(s) requests suspension of action by the Office until at least \_\_\_\_\_,  
which does not exceed three months from the filing of this RCE, in accordance with 37 C.F.R.  
§ 1.103(c). The required fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) is enclosed.

☐ A Request for Entry and Consideration of Submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.129(a) (1809/2809) is also  
enclosed.

- ☒ No additional claim fee is required.
- ☐ An additional claim fee is required, and is calculated as shown below.

AMENDED CLAIMS					
	No. of Claims	Highest No. of Claims Previously Paid For	Extra Claims	Rate	Additional Fee
Total Claims	23	MINUS 23 =	0	x \$50.00 (1202) =	\$ 0.00
Independent Claims	7	MINUS 7 =	0	x \$200.00 (1201) =	\$ 0.00
If Amendment adds multiple dependent claims, add \$360.00 (1203)					
Total Claim Amendment Fee					\$ 0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Status claimed - subtract 50% of Total Claim Amendment Fee					\$ 0.00
<b>TOTAL ADDITIONAL CLAIM FEE DUE FOR THIS AMENDMENT</b>					<b>\$ 0.00</b>

- ☐ A check in the amount of \_\_\_\_\_ is enclosed for the fee due.
- ☐ Charge \_\_\_\_\_ to Deposit Account No. 02-4800.
- ☐ Charge \_\_\_\_\_ to credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.20(d) and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800. This paper is submitted in duplicate.


Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.


P.O. Box 1404  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404  
(703) 836-6620

Date: May 23, 2005

By



Richard J. Kim  
Registration No. 48,360



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of

Michael E. Weinstein

Application No.: 10/608,096

Filed: June 30, 2003

For: MICROSTRIP-WAVEGUIDE  
TRANSITION

) **MAIL STOP AF**

) Group Art Unit: 2819

) Examiner: VIBOL TAN.

) Confirmation No.: 8095

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the final Office Action dated March 21, 2005, reconsideration and allowance of the present application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-23 remain pending in the application.

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the indication in numbered paragraph 3 of the final Office Action that claims 12-23 are allowed.

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the indication in numbered paragraph 2 of the Office Action that claims 3, 7, 10 and 11 contain allowable subject matter. Regarding claims 3, 10 and 11, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 3 was previously presented in an independent form, conforming to the Examiner's previous indication of allowability; and claims 10 and 11 are dependent from independent claim 3. Accordingly, claims 3, 10 and 11 are allowable as previously presented.

Regarding claim 7, it is respectfully submitted that independent claim 1, from which claim 7 depends from, is patentably distinct in its present form. The Examiner